A note on the Drazin inverse of a modified matrix

Abstract

In this paper the expression for the Drazin inverse of a modified matrix is considered and some interesting results are established. This contributes to certain recent results obtained by Y.Wei[9]. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A10 Key words: Drazin inverse; Modified matrix; Perturbation bound

1 Introduction

Let $C^{n \times m}$ denote the set of all complex $n \times m$ matrices. For $A \in C^{n \times m}$, the set of inner inverses are given by:

$$
A\{1\} = \{X : AXA = A\}.
$$
 (1)

Let us recall that the Drazin inverse of $A \in C^{n \times n}$ [3] is the matrix $A^D \in$ $C^{n \times n}$ which satisfies

$$
A^{k+1}X = A^k, \quad XAX = X, \quad AX = XA,
$$

for some nonnegative integer k . The least such k is the index of A, denoted by $ind(A)$. Some interesting properties of Drazin inverse, among other papers, are investigated in [8], [10], [4].

E-mail: dragana@pmf.ni.ac.rs; gagamaka@ptt.rs

Supported by Grant No. 174007 of the Ministry of Science, Technology and Development, Republic of Serbia

In this paper we consider a matrix $A \in C^{(m+p)\times (n+q)}$ partitioned as

$$
M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right],\tag{2}
$$

where $A \in C^{m \times n}$ and $D \in C^{p \times q}$.

The motivation for this research is the paper of Y.Wei [9] in which he derives various expressions for the Drazin inverse of a modified matrix.

It is well-known that the generalized Schur complement of D in M is defined as:

$$
S(M) = A - BD^{-}C,
$$
\n(3)

where $D^- \in D\{1\}$.

If we replace $D^- \in D\{1\}$ by the Drazin inverse of D in (3), we obtain the Drazin-Schur complement of D in M , which we denote by

$$
S_D(M) = A - BD^D C.
$$

The Drazin-Schur complement of A in M , is denoted by

$$
Z_D(M) = D - CA^D B.
$$

For interesting results concerning Schur complements see [1], [2], [6], [7].

In this paper we derive some expressions for the Drazin inverse of Drazin-Schur complement for the matrix M given by (2). As a corollary, we obtain the results of Wei [9].

2 Results

For an arbitrary matrix A we denote by $E_A = I - AA^D$. Let

$$
K = A^D B, \quad H = CA^D, \quad G = HK.
$$

We use S and Z instead of $S_D(M)$ and $Z_D(M)$, respectively.

When the partitioned matrix M and the submatrix D are both nonsingular, then the Schur complement of D in M is also nonsingular. When M, A and D are all three nonsingular, then

$$
(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} = A^{-1} + A^{-1}B(D - CA^{D}B)^{-1}CA^{-1}
$$

which was observed by Duncan [5]. We have the analogous result concerning the Drazin inverse and the Drazin-Schur complement.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that $E_A B = 0$, $CE_A = 0$, $BE_D Z^D C = 0$, $BD^D E_Z C = 0$ 0, $BZ^{D}E_{D}C=0$, $BE_{Z}D^{D}C=0$. Then

$$
S^D = A^D + A^D B Z^D C A^D.
$$

Proof. Let $X = A^D + A^D B Z^D C A^D$. Then

$$
SX = (A - BDDC)(AD + ADBZDCAD)
$$

= $AAD + AADBZDCAD - BDDCAD - BDDCADBZDCAD$
= $AAD + BZDCAD - BDDCAD - BDD(D - Z)ZDCAD$
= $AAD + BEDZDCAD - BDDEZCAD$
= AAD .

Similarly, $XS = A^DA$ i.e. $XS = SX$. Further

$$
XSX = ADA(AD + ADBZDCAD)
$$

= A^D + A^DBZ^DCA^D
= X.

By induction, it follows that

$$
(A - BDDC)m+1X = (A - BDDC)m + (Am+1AD - Am).
$$

Hence, $(A - BD^D C)^{m+1} X = (A - BD^D C)^m$ holds for $m \geq index(A)$. \Box

In the case when $D = I$, it follows that $E_D = 0$. Hence, we obtain Theorem 2.1 from [9] as a corollary of our Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1 If $E_A B = 0$, $CE_A = 0$, $BE_Z C = 0$, then

$$
(A - BC)^D = A^D + A^D B Z^D C A^D,
$$

where $Z = I - CA^D B$.

If Z is invertible, then from Theorem 2.1 we get the following result:

Corollary 2.2 Suppose that Z is nonsingular and $E_A B = 0$, $CE_A = 0$, $BE_D Z^{-1}C = 0$, $BZ^{-1}E_D C = 0$. Then

$$
S^D = A^D + A^D B Z^{-1} C A^D.
$$

In the case when $B = I$ we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3 Suppose that $CE_A = 0$, $E_A D^D = 0$ and $||A^D|| \cdot ||D^D C|| \le 1$. Then

$$
(A - DDC)D = (I - ADDDC)-1AD = AD(I - DDCAD)-1
$$

and

$$
(A - DDC)D - AD = (A - DDC)DDDC AD = ADDDC(A - DDC)D,
$$

with

$$
\frac{\|(A - D^DC)^D - A^D\|}{\|A^D\|} \le \frac{k_D(A)\|D^DC\|/\|A\|}{1 - k_D(A)\|D^DC\|/\|A\|},
$$

where $k_D(A) = ||A|| ||A^D||$ is the condition number with respect to the Drazin inverse.

Proof. For the proof of this corollary see Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.2 from [10].

Theorem 2.2 Let $Z = 0$, $E_A B = 0$, $CE_A = 0$, $BE_D G^D C = 0$, $BD^D E_G C = 0$ 0, $BG^D E_D C = 0$ and $BE_G D^D C = 0$. Then

$$
SD = (I - KGDH)AD(I - KGDH)
$$

= (I - KH(KH)^D)A^D(I - KH(KH)^D).

Proof. Denote by $X = (I - KG^D H)A^D (I - KG^D H)$. We obtain that

$$
SX = (A - BD^{D}C)(I - KG^{D}H)A^{D}(I - KG^{D}H)
$$

= $(A - BD^{D}C - BG^{D}CA^{D} + BD^{D}DG^{D}CA^{D})$
 $\times (A^{D} - (A^{D})^{2}BG^{D}CA^{D})$
= $(A - BD^{D}C)(A^{D} - (A^{D})^{2}BG^{D}CA^{D})$

$$
= AAD - BDDCAD - A(AD)2BGDCAD
$$

+ BD^DC(A^D)²BG^DCA^D
= AA^D - BD^DCA^D - A^DBG^DCA^D + BD^DGG^DCA^D
= AA^D - A^DBG^DCA^D
= AA^D - KG^DH

and $XS = AA^D - KG^D H$, i.e. $XS = SX$. Also,

$$
XSX = (AA^{D} - KG^{D}H)(I - KG^{D}H)A^{D}(I - KG^{D}H)
$$

= $(AA^{D} - KG^{D}H - AA^{D}KG^{D}H + KG^{D}HKG^{D}H)$
 $\times (A^{D} - A^{D}KG^{D}H)$
= $(AA^{D} - KG^{D}H)(A^{D} - A^{D}KG^{D}H)$
= $(I - KG^{D}H)AA^{D}A^{D}(I - KG^{D}H)$
= X.

We prove that $(A - BD^D C)^{m+1} X = (A - BD^D C)^m$ by induction. \Box

If $D = I$, then we obtain the Theorem 2.2 of [9]:

Corollary 2.4 Suppose that $Z = 0$, $E_A B = 0$, $CE_A = 0$ and $BE_G C = 0$. Then

$$
(A - BC)^D = (I - KG^D H)A^D (I - KG^D H)
$$

=
$$
(I - KH(KH)^D)A^D (I - KH(KH)^D).
$$

Theorem 2.3 Let ind(Z) = 1 and $E_A B = 0$, $CE_A = 0$, $BE_D = 0$, $E_D C =$ 0, $ZZ^{\#}G = GZZ^{\#}$, $BD = DB$, $CD = DC$, $BE_GC = 0$. Then

$$
S^D = (I - KE_Z G^D H)A^D (I - KE_Z G^D H) + KZ^H H.
$$
 (4)

Proof. Denote by X the right side of (4). We have that

$$
SX = (A - BDDC - BEZGDH + BDDCADBEZGDH)
$$

\n
$$
\times AD(I - KEZGDH) + AKZ#H - BDDCADBZ#H
$$

\n
$$
= (A - BDDC - BEZGDH + BDD(D - Z)EZGDH)
$$

\n
$$
\times AD(I - KEZGDH) + BZ#H - BDD(D - Z)Z#H
$$

$$
= (A - BDDC)AD(I - KEZGDH) + BDDZZ#H
$$

\n
$$
= AAD - KEZGDH - BDDCAD + BDDGGDEZH
$$

\n
$$
+ BDDZZ#H
$$

\n
$$
= AAD - KEZGDH - BDDEGCAD + BDDEGZZ#CAD
$$

\n
$$
= AAD - KEZGDH
$$

and

$$
XS = (I - KE_{Z}G^{D}H)A^{D}(A - BD^{D}C - KE_{Z}G^{D}C
$$

+ $KE_{Z}G^{D}CA^{D}BD^{D}C$) + $KZ^{#}C - KZ^{#}CA^{D}BD^{D}C$
= $(I - KE_{Z}G^{D}H)A^{D}(A - BD^{D}C - KE_{Z}G^{D}C$
+ $KE_{Z}G^{D}(D - Z)D^{D}C$) + $KZ^{#}C - KZ^{#}(D - Z)D^{D}C$
= $(I - KE_{Z}G^{D}H)A^{D}(A - BD^{D}C) + KZ^{#}ZD^{D}C$
= $A^{D}A - A^{D}BD^{D}C - KE_{Z}G^{D}H + KE_{Z}G^{D}GD^{D}C$
+ $KZ^{#}ZD^{D}C$
= $A^{D}A - KG^{D}E_{Z}H - A^{D}BE_{G}D^{D}C + KZ^{#}ZE_{G}D^{D}C$
= $A^{D}A - KG^{D}E_{Z}H$.

Furthermore,

$$
XSX = (ADA - KGDEZH)(I - KEZGDH)AD \times (I - KEZGDH) + (ADA - KGDEZH)KZ#H = (ADA - KGDEZH)AD(I - KEZGDH) + KZ#H = X.
$$

By induction, it follows that

$$
(A - BDDC)m+1X = (A - BDDC)m + (Am+1AD - Am).
$$

Hence, $(A - BD^DC)^{m+1}X = (A - BD^DC)^m$, for $m \geq ind(A)$.

Obviously, for $D = I$ we have the following result:

Corollary 2.5 Let $E_A B = 0$, $CE_A = 0$, $BE_G C = 0$, $G^D E_Z = E_Z G^D$ and $index(Z) = 1.$ Then

$$
(A - BC)^D = (I - KE_Z G^D H)A^D (I - KE_Z G^D H) + KZ^H H.
$$

References

- [1] T. Ando, Generalized Schur complements, Linear Algebra Appl. 27 (1979), 173–186.
- [2] D.S. Cvetković, D.S.Djordjević and V. Rakočević, Schur complement in C^* – algebras, Mathematische Nachrichten, (to appear).
- [3] M.P.Drazin, Pseudoinverse in associative rings and semigroups, Amer.Math.Monthly 65, (1958), 506–514.
- [4] D.S. Djordjevic and P.S. Stanimirovic, On the generalized Drazin inverse and generalized resolvent, Czech. Math. J., $51(126)$, (2001) , $617-634$.
- [5] W.J.Duncan, Some devicesfor the solution of large sets of simultaneous linear equations(with an appendix on the reciprocation of partitioned matrices), The London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, Seventh Series, 35, (1944), 660–670
- [6] D.V.Quellette, Schur complements and statistics, Linear Algebra Appl. 36, (1981), 187–295
- [7] I.Schur, Uber Potenzreihen die im Innerr des Einheitskreises sind, J.Reine Argew.Math. 147, (1917) , 205–234.
- [8] Y. Wei, Expressions for the Drazin inverse of a 2×2 block matrix, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 45, (1998), 131–146.
- [9] Y. Wei, The Drazin inverse of a modified matrix , Appl.Math.Comput. 125, (2002), 295–301.
- [10] Y. Wei, G. Wang The perturbation theory for the Drazin inverse and its applications, Linear.Algebra.Appl. 258, (1997), 179–186.